

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/884,007	06/18/2001	Manoel Tenorio	020431.0849	3397		
53184	7590 04/28/2006		EXAMINER			
i2 TECHNOLOGIES US, INC.			RUDY, A	RUDY, ANDREW J		
DALLAS,	ACE, 11701 LUNA ROAD TX 75234		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
•			3627			

DATE MAILED: 04/28/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Applicat	ication No. Applicant(s)					
		09/884,0	007	TENORIO ET AL.	TENORIO ET AL.			
		Examine	er e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	Art Unit				
			Joseph Rudy	3627				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned palent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)⊠ Resno	nsive to communication(s) filed	on 13 February 2	206					
		o)⊠ This action is						
'=	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
•	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
			_					
	Claim(s) 1-11,34 and 35 is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
<u> </u>	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11,34 and 35</u> is/are rejected.							
	-	·u.						
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
	s) are subject to restrict	on and/or election	requirement.					
Application Par	pers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) 🔲 Notice of Draf	rences Cited (PTO-892) sperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO sclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P	•	5) D Notice of Info	Mail Date primal Patent Application (PTC	D-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:								

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 13 February 2006 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-11, 34 and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1, line 4, "seller database" is not clear as the "database" is later recited to comprise a plurality of seller databases from line 4. It would appear Applicant may clear up this ambiguity by claiming a first database or master database if the application, as filed, supports such. Claims 34 and 35 contain similar issues.

Claim 1, lines 7, 9 and 11, "a rule" is not clear as the "rules" from line 3 now comprises a singular rule in three different instances.

Application/Control Number: 09/884,007 Page 3

Art Unit: 3627

It would appear Applicant may clear up this ambiguity by claiming a first rule, a second rule and a third rule. Claims 34 and 35 contain similar issues.

Claim 1, last line, "the rules" is not clear as to what is being referenced. The "rules" from line 3 or "generic rules" from line 5 may both reference "the rules" and this ambiguity must be cleared up.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-11, 34 and 35, as understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Greef et al., US 6,397,221.

Greef discloses an electronic commerce system comprising a seller database, e.g. Fig. 2, product identifiers, e.g. steps 114, 222, and a plurality of rules, e.g. Figs. 3, 12, and an error message, e.g. Fig.6, 144, 198. Greef does not disclose the term content enhancement module. Official Notice is taken that content enhancement modules have been common knowledge in the electronic commerce art. To have provided such for Greef would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art. It is noted that the rules, as understood, enumerated by Applicant appear to have been common knowledge when viewing Greef.

Application/Control Number: 09/884,007 Page 4

Art Unit: 3627

6. Further references of interest disclose database associate with business rules.

Note attached PTO-892.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Andrew Joseph Rudy whose telephone number is 571-

272-6789. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Alexander G. Kalinowski can be reached on 571-272-6771. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-

273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Andrew Joseph Rudy

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3627